Applicant: Frederich J. Landram Serial No: 10/688,316 SBL01559 Page 8

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully considered the Office Action dated October 19, 2009 and provide the following response thereto. Applicant presents this amendment in a sincere effort to place the application in consideration for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

In this amendment, Claims 1, 3, 9, 19 and 28-29 are amended. Claims 2, 10, 14-18 and 26-27 are canceled. Accordingly, Claims 1, 3-9, 11-13, 19-25 and 28-33 are currently pending. No new matter has been added.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant notes with appreciation that at page 9 of the Office Action, Claims 2, 8, 10, 27-29 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base and any intervening claims. Accordingly, while not acceding to the proprietary of any claim rejections over prior art set forth in the Office Action, Applicant has amended Claim 1 to include all of the claim features of now canceled Claim 2 and any intervening claims from which Claim 2 depended. Applicant has also amended Claim 9 to include all the claim features of now cancelled Claim 10, and any intervening claims from which Claim 10 depended, and amended Claim 19 to include all the claim features of now cancelled Claim 27, and any intervening claims from which Claim 27, and any intervening claims from which Claim 27 depended.

Claim 3 has been amended to depend from amended Claim 1 instead of now canceled Claim 2, and Claims 28-29 were amended to each depend from amended Claim 19 instead of now cancelled claim 27.

Applicant: Frederich J. Landram Serial No: 10/688,316 SBL01559 Page 9

The above claim amendments have been done to expedite the prosecution of this application towards allowance.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Claims 1, 3-9, 11-13, 19-25 and 28-33 should now be in condition for allowance. A notice to this effect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes, after this amendment, that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the Applicants' attorney at the telephone number provided below to discuss any outstanding issues relating to the allowability of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Bartholomew J. DiVita Registration No.: 59,803 Attorney for Applicants

Motorola, Inc. One Motorola Plaza Holtsville, NY 11742-1300 (631) 738-3405 BJD:di